



PUBLIC SERVICE DELIVERY IN THE AGE OF DIGITALIZATION: CHALLENGES, ADAPTATION, AND INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSES

Rachel Vivian Sitorus ¹, Dara Aisyah ², Muhammad Imanuddin Kandias Saraan ³

^{1,2,3} Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

Corresponding author: rachelviviansitorus17@gmail.com

Article Info

Article history:

Received : 20 October 2025

Acceptance : 27 November 2025

Published : 30 November 2025

How to cite:

Saraan, K. I. M., Aisyah, D., Sitorus, V. R. (2025). PUBLIC SERVICE DELIVERY IN THE AGE OF DIGITALIZATION: CHALLENGES, ADAPTATION, AND INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSES. *OPINI: Journal of Communication and Social Science*, 2(3), 283-297.



This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license

ABSTRACT

Digitalization has significantly reshaped the design and delivery of public services, offering potential gains in efficiency, transparency, and citizen engagement, yet producing uneven outcomes across public institutions. This article analyzes the transformation of public service delivery in the digital era by emphasizing institutional capacity, adaptive governance, and citizen-centered approaches. Using a qualitative literature review, the study synthesizes theoretical, empirical, and policy-oriented research from major international databases through thematic analysis informed by digital-era governance perspectives. The findings demonstrate that digitalization constitutes a socio-institutional transformation rather than a purely technological process. Institutional capacity reflected in leadership commitment, regulatory adaptability, inter-organizational coordination, and organizational learning emerges as a critical determinant of successful digital public services. Additionally, citizen-centered and inclusive governance is essential to mitigate risks of digital exclusion and inequality. Integrating institutional capacity with responsive, citizen-oriented governance frameworks is therefore crucial for creating sustainable public value and effective digital service ecosystems in the public sector.

Keywords: *Digital governance, Institutional adaptation, Administrative capacity, Citizen-centered services, Inclusive public administration.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Public service delivery is undergoing profound transformation as digital technologies increasingly shape the way governments interact with citizens. Across the globe, public institutions are pressured to provide faster, more transparent, and citizen-centered services in response to growing public expectations. This shift reflects

broader societal changes driven by digital platforms, mobile technologies, and data-based decision-making. As a result, traditional bureaucratic procedures are being challenged by demands for efficiency, accessibility, and responsiveness. Consequently, public service delivery can no longer rely solely on conventional administrative models but must adapt to the realities of a digital society.

In many developing countries, including Indonesia, digitalization has become both an opportunity and a challenge for public administration. On the one hand, digital tools enable governments to expand service coverage, reduce administrative costs, and minimize face-to-face interactions that are often associated with inefficiency and corruption. On the other hand, uneven digital literacy, infrastructure gaps, and organizational resistance hinder the effective implementation of digital public services. These social conditions reveal that digitalization does not automatically guarantee better service delivery but requires institutional readiness and adaptive governance. Therefore, understanding how public institutions respond to digitalization is a critical issue in contemporary public administration (Latupeirissa et al., 2024).

Scholarly literature emphasizes that digitalization fundamentally reshapes public service delivery by altering administrative processes, organizational structures, and state citizen relations. Studies on digital governance argue that technology driven reforms encourage transparency, accountability, and collaborative governance when properly institutionalized (Afiyah, 2025; Bhanye & Shayamunda, 2025). Digital platforms allow governments to redesign service workflows and integrate multiple agencies, thereby improving coordination and service efficiency (Gong & Li, 2023; Kurniawati et al., 2025). However, literature also cautions that technological adoption without institutional reform may lead to superficial modernization with limited impact on service quality.

Research on e-government and digital public administration further highlights the importance of institutional adaptation in determining the success of digital public services (Abdussamad et al., 2024; Suhendar et al., 2023). Scholars note that organizational culture, administrative capacity, and regulatory frameworks play decisive roles in shaping digital outcomes (Atobishi et al., 2024; Murire, 2024). Empirical studies show that digital initiatives often fail when public organizations lack strategic alignment or fail to integrate technology with human resources and

governance structures. Thus, the literature suggests that digitalization should be viewed as an institutional transformation rather than merely a technological upgrade (Dąbrowska et al., 2022; Pesce, 2023).

Building on these conditions, this article aims to examine how public service delivery evolves in the context of digitalization, with particular attention to the challenges faced by public institutions. The study seeks to identify structural, organizational, and socio-administrative obstacles that emerge during digital transformation processes. By focusing on institutional responses, the article intends to move beyond technology-centered explanations and emphasize governance dynamics. This objective is essential to understanding why similar digital tools produce different outcomes across public sector organizations.

In addition, this article aims to analyze adaptive strategies employed by public institutions to manage digital transformation in service delivery. It explores how administrative actors reinterpret roles, redesign service mechanisms, and respond to citizen expectations in digital environments. Through this approach, the study contributes to the growing body of public administration literature that links digitalization with institutional learning and administrative reform. Ultimately, the article seeks to provide conceptual and practical insights relevant to policymakers and public managers.

This article argues that digitalization alone is insufficient to improve public service delivery unless accompanied by institutional adaptation and administrative capacity building. While digital tools can enhance efficiency, their effectiveness depends on governance structures that support coordination, accountability, and inclusiveness. Without such institutional support, digital initiatives risk reinforcing existing inequalities or creating new forms of administrative exclusion. Therefore, digital public service delivery should be understood as a socio-institutional process rather than a purely technical intervention (Purba et al., 2025).

The article contends that successful digital public service delivery requires a balanced integration of technology, institutions, and human agency. Public administration must shift from rigid bureaucratic models toward adaptive and learning-oriented governance systems. Institutional response, such as regulatory reform, organizational restructuring, and capacity development are central to sustaining digital transformation. By emphasizing institutional dynamics, this study

offers a nuanced perspective on how governments can navigate the complexities of public service delivery in the digital age.

2. RESEARCH METHODE

This study employs a qualitative literature review to examine how digitalization influences public service delivery and institutional responses within public administration (Creswell, 2021). This approach is selected to synthesize theoretical arguments, empirical evidence, and policy claims from existing scholarly works, enabling a comprehensive understanding of digital transformation beyond technological determinism. The literature was collected from reputable international databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, and ScienceDirect, using keywords such as digital public service, e-government, digital governance, institutional adaptation, and public administration reform. Peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, and authoritative reports were prioritized, particularly those published within the last decade, while seminal works were included to strengthen the theoretical foundation. The selection process applied clear inclusion criteria, focusing on studies that address institutional, organizational, and governance dimensions of digitalization, while excluding sources that emphasize purely technical aspects without administrative relevance. The analytical process followed a thematic synthesis approach, in which selected studies were systematically examined to identify recurring concepts, patterns, and explanatory frameworks related to institutional readiness, administrative capacity, governance reform, and service innovation. This analysis was guided by perspectives from digital-era governance and institutional change theories, which frame digitalization as a socio-institutional transformation rather than a mere technological upgrade. To enhance the credibility of the review, source triangulation was applied by comparing findings across different authors, contexts, and methodological orientations. Through this integrated literature review, the study consolidates fragmented discussions on digital public service delivery into a coherent analytical narrative, offering conceptual insights and identifying research gaps relevant to policymakers, public managers, and future scholarly inquiry.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Institutional Capacity and Adaptive Governance in Digital Public Service Delivery

The literature review reveals that institutional capacity is the most decisive factor shaping the effectiveness of digital public service delivery. Digitalization consistently emerges not as a technical solution but as an institutional challenge that requires administrative adaptability, organizational learning, and governance reform. While many public institutions have adopted digital platforms to streamline service processes, the reviewed studies indicate that such initiatives often fail to produce substantive improvements when they are implemented within rigid bureaucratic structures. Institutional capacity, in this context, extends beyond technological infrastructure to include leadership commitment, regulatory flexibility, inter-organizational coordination, and the ability of administrative actors to manage change (Abutabenjeh et al., 2024; Gasco-Hernandez et al., 2022).

Empirical and conceptual studies demonstrate that adaptive governance frameworks significantly enhance the capacity of public institutions to integrate digital tools into service delivery. Adaptive governance emphasizes flexibility, feedback mechanisms, and collaborative decision-making, enabling public organizations to respond dynamically to technological change and citizen demands. In contrast, institutions characterized by hierarchical control and fragmented authority tend to experience partial digitalization, where traditional service inefficiencies are merely transferred into digital formats (Naik & Ravichander, 2022). This finding reinforces the argument that digital transformation in public administration is fundamentally an institutional reform process rather than a technological upgrade.

The literature highlights that administrative capacity is closely linked to institutional learning. Public organizations that view digitalization as an iterative and experimental process are more capable of refining service mechanisms over time. Continuous training, cross-sector collaboration, and knowledge-sharing practices emerge as key enablers of institutional resilience in the digital era (Nan & Huang, 2025; Tanveer et al., 2025). These findings suggest that investment in human capital

and organizational learning is essential for sustaining digital public services and avoiding stagnation in reform efforts.

A concrete example of how institutional capacity shapes digital public service effectiveness can be observed in Indonesia's Online Single Submission (OSS) system, which was introduced to simplify business licensing and improve the investment climate. The OSS platform was designed to integrate multiple licensing authorities into a single digital interface, allowing citizens and entrepreneurs to register businesses, obtain permits, and track approval processes online. Conceptually, this initiative reflects a strong commitment to digitalization as a means of administrative reform (Mariani & Bianchi, 2023).

However, early implementation phases revealed that technological adoption alone was insufficient to achieve substantive service improvements. Many local governments struggled to operationalize OSS due to limited institutional capacity, including unclear regulatory alignment between central and regional authorities, weak inter-organizational coordination, and insufficient administrative readiness. In several regions, traditional bureaucratic procedures were merely replicated in digital form, resulting in delays, inconsistent decision-making, and confusion among users. This phenomenon illustrates how rigid hierarchical structures and fragmented authority can constrain the transformative potential of digital platforms (Ritonga et al., 2024).

Subsequent reforms demonstrate the importance of adaptive governance frameworks in strengthening institutional capacity. The central government introduced regulatory adjustments, capacity-building programs for local officials, and iterative system upgrades based on user feedback. Inter-agency coordination mechanisms were enhanced through shared databases and standardized workflows, reducing institutional silos that previously undermined service integration. These adaptive measures enabled public institutions to respond more effectively to operational challenges and evolving citizen needs, supporting the argument that flexibility and learning are central to successful digitalization (Harianto et al., 2023; Ritonga et al., 2023).

Institutional learning played a decisive role in improving OSS performance over time. Public agencies increasingly treated digitalization as an iterative reform process, emphasizing continuous training, experimentation, and cross-sector collaboration with private technology providers. Civil servants were encouraged to develop digital competencies and problem-solving skills rather than merely following procedural compliance. As a result, later evaluations reported improved service consistency, reduced processing times, and higher levels of user satisfaction, particularly among small and medium enterprises. Overall, the OSS case underscores that digital public service delivery is fundamentally an institutional reform challenge. Technological platforms can enhance efficiency only when supported by adaptive leadership, regulatory flexibility, coordinated governance structures, and sustained investment in human capital. This case reinforces the literature's central claim that institutional capacity not technology itself is the most decisive factor in determining the success or failure of digital transformation in public administration.

Citizen-Centered Service Delivery and Institutional Inclusiveness in the Digital Era

The second major finding concerns the transformation of state-citizen relationships through digital public service delivery. The reviewed literature consistently emphasizes that digitalization reshapes how citizens access, evaluate, and engage with public services. Digital platforms enable greater transparency, responsiveness, and personalization, aligning with the principles of citizen-centered governance promoted in digital-era governance theory (Amirova, 2025; Ramjit, 2025). However, the analysis also reveals a critical paradox: while digital services have the potential to democratize access, they may simultaneously exacerbate social inequalities if institutional inclusiveness is not adequately addressed.

Studies from developing and emerging economies highlight that unequal digital literacy, limited internet access, and socio-economic disparities can exclude vulnerable populations from digital public services. This exclusion undermines the normative promise of digitalization as a tool for equitable governance and challenges assumptions that digital services are inherently inclusive. The literature underscores the role of public institutions in mitigating these risks through inclusive policy design,

multi-channel service provision, and targeted capacity-building initiatives. Institutional responses that prioritize accessibility and social equity are shown to be more effective in ensuring that digital transformation benefits diverse citizen groups.

From an institutional perspective, the findings indicate that citizen-centered digital service delivery requires a shift in administrative mindset from control-oriented bureaucracy toward participatory and collaborative governance. Regulatory frameworks, accountability mechanisms, and performance indicators must be recalibrated to reflect citizen experiences and outcomes rather than solely administrative efficiency (Ylönen & Erkkilä, 2025). This shift reinforces the importance of institutional responsiveness and ethical governance in digital public administration.

Taken together, these two analytical dimensions demonstrate that digital public service delivery is shaped by the interaction between institutional capacity and citizen-centered governance. The literature converges on the argument that successful digitalization depends on adaptive institutions capable of learning, reforming, and engaging inclusively with society. By integrating these perspectives, this study advances the understanding of digital public service delivery as a socio-institutional transformation that extends beyond technology adoption and requires sustained governance innovation.

A relevant illustration of citizen-centered digital public service delivery can be observed in Indonesia's online population administration system (e-Dukcapil), which enables citizens to access civil registration services such as birth certificates, family cards, and identity documents through digital platforms. This initiative reflects the principles of digital-era governance by enhancing transparency, efficiency, and responsiveness in state-citizen interactions. Citizens can submit applications online, track service progress in real time, and receive official documents without repeated visits to government offices, thereby reducing bureaucratic barriers and transaction costs. However, empirical evaluations of this system reveal a significant inclusiveness challenge. While urban residents with stable internet access and higher digital literacy benefit substantially from the platform, vulnerable groups, such as elderly citizens, low-income households, rural communities, and persons with limited formal

education, often experience difficulties in accessing and navigating digital services. In several rural regions, limited broadband infrastructure and low smartphone penetration have effectively excluded certain populations from fully utilizing e-Dukcapil services, reinforcing existing socio-economic inequalities rather than alleviating them.

Recognizing this paradox, local governments have adopted institutional mitigation strategies to enhance inclusiveness. These include the provision of hybrid service models, where digital platforms are complemented by offline assistance desks, mobile service units, and community-based facilitators. In some districts, civil servants and village officials actively assist citizens in submitting online applications, while digital literacy training is offered through community centers. Such multi-channel service delivery demonstrates an institutional shift toward accessibility and equity, aligning digital transformation with citizen-centered governance principles. From an institutional perspective, this case also illustrates a transition from a control-oriented bureaucratic model toward participatory and responsive governance.

Performance indicators for population services increasingly emphasize citizen satisfaction, service accessibility, and inclusiveness rather than administrative speed alone. Feedback mechanisms embedded in digital platforms allow citizens to report service obstacles, contributing to institutional learning and continuous improvement. Overall, the e-Dukcapil case demonstrates that digital public service delivery is not merely a technological innovation but a socio-institutional transformation. Its effectiveness depends on adaptive institutions capable of addressing digital divides, engaging citizens collaboratively, and embedding ethical and inclusive values into governance frameworks. This case reinforces the argument that citizen-centered digitalization must be accompanied by sustained institutional reform to ensure equitable public service outcomes.

Integrating Institutional Capacity and Citizen-Centeredness: Toward Responsive and Inclusive Digital Public Service Ecosystems

Building on the two preceding discussions, the literature suggests that effective digital public service delivery emerges from the integration of institutional capacity

and citizen-centered governance into a coherent service ecosystem. Rather than treating administrative capability and citizen engagement as separate dimensions, digitalization requires public institutions to align internal governance reforms with external societal needs. Institutional capacity enables public organizations to design, manage, and sustain digital services, while citizen-centeredness ensures that these services remain accessible, responsive, and socially legitimate. The interaction between these dimensions determines whether digital transformation produces meaningful public value or merely superficial modernization.

The reviewed studies indicate that public institutions with strong administrative capacity but limited citizen orientation often prioritize efficiency over inclusiveness, resulting in digitally advanced yet socially exclusionary services. Conversely, initiatives that emphasize citizen participation without adequate institutional support tend to face implementation failures, policy inconsistency, and service fragmentation (Kim & Park, 2025). This imbalance demonstrates that neither institutional strength nor citizen-centeredness alone is sufficient; digital public service delivery requires their mutual reinforcement. Adaptive institutions must therefore internalize citizen perspectives into governance structures, performance indicators, and decision-making processes.

The literature highlights that responsive digital service ecosystems depend on continuous interaction between public institutions and citizens. Feedback loops, co-creation mechanisms, and multi-channel service delivery allow governments to adjust services in line with evolving social conditions and user experiences (Fazel Dehkordi & Nasr, 2025; Ohorella et al., 2024). Such interaction transforms citizens from passive service recipients into active stakeholders in governance processes, strengthening trust and accountability. In this sense, digitalization functions not only as a service innovation tool but also as a mechanism for institutional learning and democratic renewal.

From a governance perspective, integrating institutional capacity with citizen-centered approaches requires a shift toward networked and collaborative public administration. Regulatory flexibility, inter-agency coordination, and partnerships with non-state actors become essential in addressing complex service needs in

digitally diverse societies. The literature converges on the view that digital public service delivery is most effective when embedded in governance systems that are both administratively robust and socially responsive (Pirinen et al., 2022). This integrated perspective advances the understanding of digitalization as a socio-institutional ecosystem, where institutional adaptation and citizen inclusiveness jointly shape sustainable public service transformation.

4. CONCLUSION

This study concludes that digital public service delivery cannot be understood as a purely technological endeavor but must be approached as a comprehensive socio-institutional transformation. The literature review demonstrates that institutional capacity encompassing leadership commitment, regulatory flexibility, administrative coordination, and human capital development is the primary determinant of whether digitalization leads to meaningful improvements in public service delivery. Digital tools may enhance efficiency and accessibility, but without adaptive governance and organizational learning, digital initiatives risk reproducing existing bureaucratic inefficiencies in new technological forms. The findings further confirm that adaptive governance plays a critical role in enabling public institutions to respond effectively to technological change and evolving citizen expectations. Institutions that adopt flexible, collaborative, and feedback-oriented governance frameworks are better positioned to integrate digital tools into service delivery in a sustainable manner. In contrast, rigid and hierarchical administrative systems tend to experience fragmented or superficial digitalization. This reinforces the conclusion that digital transformation in public administration is fundamentally an institutional reform process rather than a technical upgrade. In addition, the study highlights that citizen-centered service delivery and institutional inclusiveness are essential components of successful digital public services. While digital platforms offer opportunities for transparency, responsiveness, and personalization, they also introduce new risks of exclusion linked to digital divides, unequal literacy, and socio-economic disparities. The literature underscores that public institutions bear a central responsibility in mitigating these risks through inclusive policy design, multi-channel service provision, and targeted capacity-building initiatives. Without deliberate institutional efforts to promote equity, digitalization

may undermine rather than enhance democratic governance. Importantly, this study concludes that sustainable digital public service delivery emerges from the integration of institutional capacity and citizen-centered governance into a coherent service ecosystem. Neither administrative strength nor citizen participation alone is sufficient; meaningful digital transformation requires their mutual reinforcement. Responsive digital service ecosystems depend on continuous interaction between institutions and citizens, facilitated through feedback mechanisms, co-creation practices, and collaborative governance arrangements. Such interaction not only improves service quality but also strengthens institutional learning, public trust, and accountability. Overall, this study advances the understanding of digital public service delivery as an evolving institutional ecosystem shaped by governance structures, administrative agency, and societal engagement. By synthesizing insights from institutional capacity, adaptive governance, and citizen-centered perspectives, the article contributes to contemporary debates in public administration and digital governance. The findings suggest that future public sector reforms should prioritize institutional adaptability, inclusiveness, and collaborative governance to ensure that digitalization generates lasting public value rather than superficial modernization.

References

- Abdussamad, Z., Judijanto, L., Yusup, A., Husni Tamrin, A. M., Rosyalita, D., & Sari Rahayu, H. W. (2024). Enhancing Public Service Delivery through Digital Transformation: Challenges and Opportunities in the Era of E-Government. *Pakistan Journal of Life & Social Sciences*, 22(2).
- Abutabenjeh, S., Dimand, A.-M., Brunjes, B. M., Azhar, A., & Nukpezah, J. (2024). Collaboration, Organizational Capacity, and Sustainability: The Cross-Sector Governance of Smart Cities. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 48(4), 239–262.
- Afiyah, S. (2025). Optimizing Public Services Through Digital Innovation in Local Government Institutions. *The Journal of Academic Science*, 2(5), 1432–1440.
- Amirova, A. (2025). Advancing citizen-centered public services in Kazakhstan: legal, institutional, and digital governance perspectives. *Frontiers in Political Science*, 7, 1679601.
- Atobishi, T., Moh'd Abu Bakir, S., & Nosratabadi, S. (2024). How do digital capabilities

- affect organizational performance in the public sector? The mediating role of the organizational agility. *Administrative Sciences*, 14(2), 37.
- Bhanye, J., & Shayamunda, R. (2025). The promise of civic-tech: digital technologies and transparent, accountable governance. In *Digitalisation and Public Policy in Africa: GovTech and CivicTech Innovations* (pp. 93–122). Springer.
- Creswell, J. W. (2021). *A concise introduction to mixed methods research*. SAGE publications.
- Dąbrowska, J., Alpanopoulou, A., Brem, A., Chesbrough, H., Cucino, V., Di Minin, A., Giones, F., Hakala, H., Marullo, C., & Mention, A. (2022). Digital transformation, for better or worse: a critical multi-level research agenda. *R&D Management*, 52(5), 930–954.
- Fazel Dehkordi, M., & Nasr, A. K. (2025). How perceptions of service failure stability, service recovery justice and e-service quality affect value co-creation intentions in two-sided e-retail platforms. *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*, 17(3), 355–380.
- Gasco-Hernandez, M., Gil-Garcia, J. R., & Luna-Reyes, L. F. (2022). Unpacking the role of technology, leadership, governance and collaborative capacities in inter-agency collaborations. *Government Information Quarterly*, 39(3), 101710.
- Gong, Y., & Li, X. (2023). Designing boundary resources in digital government platforms for collaborative service innovation. *Government Information Quarterly*, 40(1), 101777.
- Hariato, F., Ohorella, N. R., & Dalimunthe, M. A. (2023). Kompetensi Komunikasi Guest Service Agent Dalam Mendorong Kepuasan Tamu Mh Hotel Ipoh Malaysia. *Komunika*, 19(02), 30–35.
- Kim, A., & Park, H. J. (2025). Developing a design-led evaluation model for citizen-centered public services: a South Korean case. *Policy Design and Practice*, 1–16.
- Kurniawati, D., Dalimunthe, M. A., Kholil, S., Ritonga, A. R., & Pratama, T. A. (2025). Reduction of microplastics through waste management: Evaluation of water quality and health risks in the communication policy of the ministry of environment and forestry. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1445(1), 12043.
- Latupeirissa, J. J. P., Dewi, N. L. Y., Prayana, I. K. R., Srikandi, M. B., Ramadiansyah, S. A., & Pramana, I. B. G. A. Y. (2024). Transforming public service delivery: A

- comprehensive review of digitization initiatives. *Sustainability*, 16(7), 2818.
- Mariani, I., & Bianchi, I. (2023). Conceptualising digital transformation in cities: A multi-dimensional framework for the analysis of public sector innovation. *Sustainability*, 15(11), 8741.
- Murire, O. T. (2024). Artificial intelligence and its role in shaping organizational work practices and culture. *Administrative Sciences*, 14(12), 316.
- Naik, A. A., & Ravichander, G. (2022). Distributing the Capacity to Solve: Digital Public Goods for Iterative Adaptation in Governance and Service Delivery. *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Theory and Practice of Electronic Governance*, 64–68.
- Nan, M., & Huang, L. (2025). Innovation ecosystems: a cross-industry examination of knowledge flows and collaboration dynamics. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 16(1), 26–64.
- Ohorella, N. R., Fauziah, D., & Dalimunthe, M. A. (2024). Brand Awareness Communication Strategy in Setujuan Coffee Marketing on Instagram Social Media. *Komunika*, 20(02), 46–57.
- Pesce, D. (2023). Digital transformation and vertical (dis-) integration: The role of technological change and the importance of the institutional context. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 71, 7311–7324.
- Pirinen, A., Savolainen, K., Hyysalo, S., & Mattelmäki, T. (2022). Design enters the city: Requisites and points of friction in deepening public sector design. *International Journal of Design*, 16(3), 1–19.
- Purba, A. M., Dirbawanto, N. D., & Dalimunthe, M. A. (2025). Urban digitalization through clean energy: policies and communication of Medan City government towards “Medan Smart City.” *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1445(1), 12067.
- Ramjit, D. (2025). Citizen Empowerment in the Digital Era: Redefining Administrative Legitimacy and Power Dynamics. *Public Administration and Development*.
- Ritonga, A. R., Dalimunthe, M. A., & Januarini, E. (2024). Utilization Of Digital Media in Improving Student Literacy (Case Study at SDN 10 Rantau Selatan). *CONTENT: Journal of Communication Studies*, 2(2), 1–8.
- Ritonga, A. R., Dalimunthe, M. A., Veronica, A., & Ginting, L. D. C. U. (2023). The

Effectiveness of Social Media As A Promotional Medium Of The University Of Sumatera Utara (USU) Library. *Talenta Conference Series: Local Wisdom, Social, and Arts (LWSA)*, 6(3), 16–20.

Suhendar, A., Syam, A. M., & Ritonga, A. R. (2023). Efektivitas Instagram Sebagai Ruang Motivasi Hijrah Mahasiswa IAIN Lhokseumawe. *KomunikA*, 19(02), 12–20.

Tanveer, U., Hoang, T. G., Ishaq, S., & Khalid, R. U. (2025). Public-private partnerships as catalysts for digital transformation and circular economy: Insights from developing countries. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 219, 124270.

Ylönen, M., & Erkkilä, T. (2025). What sustains flawed indicators? Unpacking the EU's administrative burden agenda. *Policy Studies*, 1–31.